# Summary of PISA 2012 results for Estonia 

## Press conference on December 3, 2013

## Summary

PISA survey measures knowledge and skills of 15-year students in three domains: mathematics, reading and science. Primary goal of the study is to assess how well students nearing the end of the compulsory education have acquired knowledge and skills necessary to meet the challenges of the society and apply their knowledge to real life problems. PISA survey results allow objective comparison of the compulsory education level in Estonia and other countries. Estonia has previously participated in PISA 2006 and 2009 cycles

- In country comparison the performance of Estonian 15-year old students ranks among the top achievers in the world, and among the best performers in Europe. The results have improved since PISA 2006 and 2009. For instance, in science in Europe Estonia ranks $1^{\text {st }}-2^{\text {nd }}$ together with Finland.
- Schools with Russian language of instruction have improved considerably since previous PISA cycles. Students in both Estonian and Russian language schools have shown improvement and the gap between the two language groups is decreasing. The results for the Russian language students have increased two times.
- Estonian Basic (comprehensive) school has done a very good job. Special attention has been paid to weaker students. Among other European countries Estonia has the smallest amount of low achievers; the same applies when considering all participating countries. PISA 2012 report points out high level of equality of Estonian education system.


## Background

In PISA 2012 the main domain of assessment was mathematics, reading and science being minor domains. Apart from the test booklets all students filled out student questionnaire which provides student background information, attitudes towards mathematics and school

510000 students from 65 countries participated in PISA 2012 assessment. In Estonia 5867 students participated. Total number of 15 year-olds in Estonia in 2012 was 12 439, this means that almost half of the PISA age population was assessed.

206 Estonian schools participated, 166 schools were with Estonian as the language of instruction, 37 with Russian as language of instruction and 3 mixed language schools. 79\% of the students (1917 girls and 1867 boys) took the test in Estonian and 21\% of the students ( 492 girls and 503 boys) took it in Russian.

## Results

- The performance of Estonian 15-year-olds in the participating country comparison is very good.
- Survey results give an evaluation to changes implemented in the education system, show the direction of the development in the country and predict its future workforce.
- High student performance shows that students are ready to meet the challenges of the modern society and continue their education successfully in the next level of their schooling.


## Student mean scores

| Domain | 2006 <br> Mean score | 2009 <br> Mean score | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> Mean score | Gain since <br> 2006 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | 501 | 501 | $\mathbf{5 1 6}$ | +15 |
| Maths | 515 | 512 | $\mathbf{5 2 1}$ | +6 |
| Science | 531 | 528 | $\mathbf{5 4 1}$ | +10 |

Rankings according to the mean scores

| Domain | 2006 |  | 2009 |  | 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All <br> countries | In Europe | All <br> countries | In Europe | All <br> countries | In Europe |
| Reading | 13 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 4 |
| Maths | 14 | 6 | 17 | 7 | 11 | 4 |
| Science | 5 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 2 |

Rankings according to statistically similar mean scores

| Domain | 2006 |  | 2009 |  | 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All <br> countries | In <br> Europe | All <br> countries | In Europe | All <br> countries | In Europe |
| Maths | $12-16$. | $5-8$. | $14-17$. | $5-8$. | $10-14$. | $3-6$. |
| Reading | $9-19$. | $4-12$. | $10-26$. | $2-15$. | $9-15$. | $3-6$. |
| Science | $3-8$. | 2. | $7-11$. | $2-3$. | $4-7$. | $1-2$. |

## Results in mathematics (detailed table at the end)

- The mean score for Estonia in mathematics is 521 points. In Europe we share 3.-6. place with the Netherlands, Finland and Poland. Among all participating countries Estonia ranks 10-14. The result since 2006 has increased for 6 points.
- The mean score in the computer based assessment of mathematics is 516 points. This places Estonia as the best performing country in Europe and 9th among all participating countries (32 countries participated in e-mathematics test)
- $81 \%$ of Estonian students think that mathematics is important and they will need it in their future studies. Estonian students think that results in mathematics strongly depend on their own efforts.
- Estonian students are persistent but with low self-concept about mathematics. Two thirds think that they are not good at maths and only $27,4 \%$ of the students look forward to mathematics lessons. Girls experience higher level of anxiety towards mathematics than boys.


## Results in reading (detailed table at the end)

- Mean score in reading is 516 points. This result is similar to Poland, Liechtenstein and the Netherlands and places us from 3rd - 6th place. Finland has the highest score among the European countries. Among all participating countries Estonia ranks from 9th to 15th place. In comparison with PISA 2006 Estonian results have increased 15 points.
- Mean score in the computer based assessment of reading is 523 points. ( 32 countries participated in e-reading test)


## Results in science (detailed table at the end)

- Mean score in science is 541 points. In European comparison Estonia shares the 1st and 2nd place together with Finland. Among all participating countries we share 4th-7th spot. In comparison with PISA 2006 the results have increased 10 points.


## Results according to the language of instruction - Russian student performance has rapidly improved

In comparison with PISA 2006 the performance of Estonian language schools has improved 8 points, Russian student performance 16 points. Notable is the Russian student improvement in reading as it has increased for 38 points since PISA.

Since 2006 the gap between Estonian and Russian language schools has decreased:

- In reading from 66 points to 36 .
- In maths from 40 points to 31 .
- In science from 43 points to 36 .

Although the gap is still big, it is decreasing rapidly. Estonian student score is 524 points, Russian students score 488 points. The gap is 36 points which can be considered as close to one year of schooling. It is important to note that Russian student performance since 2006 has increased 38 points. Also in science Estonian students perform better, their score is 549, Russian students 514, the difference is 35 points.

## In reading there is a big gap between performance of boys and girls

Boys perform considerably lower than girls in reading. The difference is 44 points, which is more than one year of schooling.

The performance gap between boys and girls since 2009 has remained the same - 44 points (girls 538 , boys 494). One school year equals 39 points. Thus in reading boys are behind girls for more than one school year.

- The best performers in reading are girls from Estonian schools. Their performance is similar to the results of the best performing countries. Results in computer based assessment in reading are similarly high and girls are better performers (girls 541, boys 504, and gap 37 points).
- There is no gender difference in performance in science; in maths boys perform 5 points better than girls.
- Girls have lower self-concept about mathematics than boys.


## Estonian education system provides equal opportunities

Estonian student performance is not influenced by student background.

- More than a third of students with low socio economic background are among the best performers. There is no gender difference among performance of resilient students.
- Estonia is among countries with an education system that provides equal opportunities for its students. High performing countries where maths performance is not affected by student socio economic background are the following: Estonia, Finland, Liechtenstein, Canada, Australia, Japan, Korea, Hong Kong (China) and Macau-China.
- Implementing principles of comprehensive school- equal teaching quality across the country. Although we are among the best performing countries, there is a performance gap between urban (534 p) and rural schools (518). After accounting for socio economic background statistically significant gap is still there.


## Lowest amount of low proficiency students in Europe

Estonia is among the top countries that have the smallest amount of students that have not reached the baseline level of proficiency. In PISA second proficiency level is the baseline level. Students at this level should be able to manage in everyday life. Most of Estonian students have reached this level in all three assessment domains (in reading 90,9\% of students, in maths 89,5\% of students, in science 95\%). The trend during the six years has been positive.

In Europe we are the first in all three assessment domains with the smallest amount of weakest students. In all three domains about 2/3 of Estonian students have reached the third level of proficiency.

- Among the participating countries only Shanghai (China), Hong Kong (China), Singapore have even smaller amount of low performers.

Percentage of students whose performance is below second level of proficiency and Estonian rankings

| Domain | 2006 |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount <br> of St \%- | All <br> countries | In <br> Europe | Amount <br> of St \%- | All <br> countr <br> ies | In <br> Europe | Amount of <br> St \%- | All <br> countries | In Europe |  |
| Maths | $7,7 \%$ | 9 | 4 | $13,3 \%$ | 10 | 3 | $10,5 \%$ | 5 | 1 |  |
| Reading | $12,1 \%$ | 8 | 3 | $12,6 \%$ | 7 | 2 | $9,2 \%$ | 4 | 1 |  |
| Science | $7,7 \%$ | 2 | 2 | $8,3 \%$ | 5 | 2 | $5,0 \%$ | 2 | 1 |  |

## Increase in numbers of top performers:

There has been an important increase in the number of students that have reached 5 th and 6 th levels of proficiency. The trend has been positive.

Top performers (levels 5 and 6) in Estonia (\%):

| Domain | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Maths | $12,6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $14,6 \%$ |
| Reading | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $8,4 \%$ |
| Science | $11,5 \%$ | $10,4 \%$ | $12,8 \%$ |

## 66\% of the Estonian students say they feel happy at school

- $76 \%$ of Estonian students are satisfied with their school, $66 \%$ of the Estonian students say they feel happy at school. However, the happiness factor and student performance are linked weakly.
- The happiest students are in countries where the performance is lower. However, in countries like Switzerland, Liechtenstein and Holland most students feel happy, they perform well and the socio economic influence on performance is weak.


## School climate is important

School climate and student performance are connected.

- In general student attitude towards school is positive, they think that what they have learned at school will be useful after finishing (91\%) and only few students think that going to school has been a waste of time (7\%).
- Almost $80 \%$ of students think that school has encouraged them to make decisions.
- $3 / 4$ of students believe that school has prepared them for adult life. Most students have good relationships with teachers.
- Good student teacher relationships and teacher positive characteristics contribute to positive performance.


## Estonian students are e-students

- Estonian students lead the lead tables in internet usage during the work days. Only 1,39\% of students do not use internet on a school day. During the weekend Estonian students are second in using internet; they are among the top ones who participate in social networks. Also Estonians are leading according to the usage of school web and e-school platforms.


## Estonian challenges

Ability of the education system to care for development and potential of every child, especially talented students. In maths among of top level achievers ( 5 and 6 levels) we have 14,6\% (in Shanghai 55,4\%).

Gender gap in reading performance-boys perform considerably lower. In reading boys perform 44 points lower than girls.

Difference in performance between students from Estonian and Russian language schools.
Although the difference between both school groups has decreased, the difference is still considerable ( 44 points in reading, 31 points in maths, 35 points in science).

## Mean performance of all participating countries in all three domains

- Countries marked in green have statistically similar performance as Estonia

| Maths |  |  | Reading |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jrk nr | Tulemus | Riik | Jrk $\mathrm{nr}$ | Tulemus | Riik | $\overline{J r k}$ $\mathrm{nr}$ | Tulemus | Rijk |
| 1 | 613 | Shanghai (Hiina) | 1 | 570 | Shanghai (Hiina) | 1 | 580 | Shanghai (Hiina) |
| 2 | 573 | Singapore | 2 | 545 | Hong Kong-China | 2 | 555 | Hong Kong-China |
| 3 | 561 | Hong Kong-China | 3 | 542 | Singapore | 3 | 551 | Singapore |
| 4 | 560 | Taibei (Hiina) | 4 | 538 | Japan | 4 | 547 | Japan |
| 5 | 554 | Korea | 5 | 536 | Korea | 5 | 545 | Finland |
| 6 | 538 | Macao (Hiina) | 6 | 524 | Finland | 6 | 541 | Estonia |
| 7 | 536 | Japan | 7 | 523 | lirimaa | 7 | 538 | Korea |
| 8 | 535 | Liechtenstein | 8 | 523 | Taibei (Hiina) | 8 | 528 | Vietnam |


| 9 | 531 | Šveits | 9 | 523 | Canada | 9 | 526 | Poland |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 523 | Holland | 10 | 518 | Poland | 10 | 525 | Canada |
| 11 | 521 | Estonia | 11 | 516 | Estonia | 11 | 525 | Liechtenstein |
| 12 | 519 | Finland | 12 | 516 | Liechtenstein | 12 | 524 | Saksamaa |
| 13 | 518 | Canada | 13 | 512 | Uus-Meremaa | 13 | 523 | Taibei (Hiina) |
| 14 | 518 | Poland | 14 | 512 | Austraalia | 14 | 522 | Holland |
| 15 | 515 | Belgia | 15 | 511 | Holland | 15 | 522 | lirimaa |
| 16 | 514 | Saksamaa | 16 | 509 | Belgia | 16 | 521 | Austraalia |
| 17 | 511 | Vietnam | 17 | 509 | Šveits | 17 | 521 | Macau (Hiina) |
| 18 | 506 | Austria | 18 | 509 | Macau (Hiina) | 18 | 516 | Uus-Meremaa |
| 19 | 504 | Austraalia | 19 | 508 | Vietnam | 19 | 515 | Šveits |
| 20 | 501 | Island | 20 | 508 | Saksamaa | 20 | 514 | Sloveenia |
| 21 | 501 | Sloveenia | 21 | 505 | Prantsusmaa | 21 | 514 | Suurbritannia |
| 22 | 500 | Taani | 22 | 504 | Norra | 22 | 508 | Tšehhi |
| 23 | 500 | Uus Meremaa | 23 | 499 | Suurbritannia | 23 | 506 | Austria |
| 24 | 499 | Tšehhi | 24 | 498 | USA | 24 | 505 | Belgia |
| 25 | 495 | Prantsusmaa | 25 | 496 | Taani | 25 | 502 | Läti |
| 26 | 494 | Suurbritannia | 26 | 493 | Tšehhi | 26 | 499 | Prantsusmaa |
| 27 | 493 | lirimaa | 27 | 490 | Itaalia | 27 | 498 | Taani |
| 28 | 491 | Läti | 28 | 490 | Austria | 28 | 497 | USA |
| 29 | 490 | Luxembourg | 29 | 489 | Läti | 29 | 496 | Hispaania |
| 30 | 489 | Norra | 30 | 488 | Ungari | 30 | 496 | Leedu |
| 31 | 487 | Portugal | 31 | 488 | Hispaania | 31 | 495 | Norra |
| 32 | 485 | Itaalia | 32 | 488 | Luksemburg | 32 | 494 | Ungari |
| 33 | 484 | Hispaania | 33 | 488 | Portugal | 33 | 494 | Itaalia |
| 34 | 482 | Venemaa | 34 | 486 | lisrael | 34 | 491 | Horvaatia |
| 35 | 482 | Slovakkia | 35 | 485 | Horvaatia | 35 | 491 | Luksemburg |
| 36 | 481 | USA | 36 | 483 | Rootsi | 36 | 489 | Portugal |
| 37 | 479 | Leedu | 37 | 483 | Island | 37 | 486 | Venemaa |
| 38 | 478 | Rootsi | 38 | 481 | Sloveenia | 38 | 485 | Rootsi |
| 39 | 477 | Ungari | 39 | 477 | Leedu | 39 | 478 | Island |
| 40 | 471 | Horvaatia | 40 | 477 | Kreeka | 40 | 471 | Slovakkia |
| 41 | 466 | lisrael | 41 | 475 | Türgi | 41 | 470 | lisrael |
| 42 | 453 | Kreeka | 42 | 475 | Venemaa | 42 | 467 | Kreeka |
| 43 | 449 | Serbia | 43 | 463 | Slovakkia | 43 | 463 | Türgi |
| 44 | 448 | Türgi | 44 | 449 | Küpros | 44 | 448 | Araabia ÜE |
| 45 | 445 | Rumeenia | 45 | 446 | Serbia | 45 | 446 | Bulgaaria |
| 46 | 440 | Küpros | 46 | 442 | Araabia ÜE | 46 | 445 | Tšiili |
| 47 | 439 | Bulgaaria | 47 | 441 | Tšiili | 47 | 445 | Serbia |
| 48 | 434 | Araabia ÜE | 48 | 441 | Tai | 48 | 444 | Tai |
| 49 | 432 | Kasahstan | 49 | 441 | Costa Rica | 49 | 439 | Rumeenia |
| 50 | 427 | Tai | 50 | 438 | Rumeenia | 50 | 438 | Küpros |
| 51 | 423 | Tšiili | 51 | 436 | Bulgaaria | 51 | 429 | Costa Rica |
| 52 | 421 | Malaisia | 52 | 424 | Mehhiko | 52 | 425 | Kasahstan |
| 53 | 413 | Mehhiko | 53 | 422 | Montenegro | 53 | 420 | Malaisia |
| 54 | 410 | Montenegro | 54 | 411 | Uruguay | 54 | 416 | Uruguay |
| 55 | 409 | Uruguai | 55 | 410 | Brasiilia | 55 | 415 | Mehhiko |
| 56 | 407 | Costa Rica | 56 | 404 | Tuneesia | 56 | 410 | Montenegro |
| 57 | 394 | Albaania | 57 | 403 | Colombia | 57 | 409 | Jordaania |
| 58 | 391 | Brasiilia | 58 | 399 | Jordaania | 58 | 406 | Argentiina |
| 59 | 388 | Argentiina | 59 | 398 | Malaisia | 59 | 405 | Brasiilia |


| 60 | 388 | Tuneesia | 60 | 396 | Indoneesia | 60 | 399 | Colombia |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 61 | 386 | Jordaania | 61 | 396 | Argentina | 61 | 398 | Tuneesia |
| 62 | 376 | Kolumbia | 62 | 394 | Albaania | 62 | 397 | Albaania |
| 63 | 376 | Katar | 63 | 393 | Kasahstan | 63 | 384 | Katar |
| 64 | 375 | Indoneesia | 64 | 388 | Katar | 64 | 382 | Indoneesia |
| 65 | 368 | Peruu | 65 | 384 | Peruu | 65 | 373 | Peruu |

## Mean performance of European countries in all three domains

- Countries marked in green have statistically similar performance as Estonia

| Maths |  |  | Reading |  |  | Science |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Jrk } \\ & \text { nr } \end{aligned}$ | Tulemus | Rifk | Jrk $\mathrm{nr}$ | Tulemus | Rijk | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Jrk } \\ \mathrm{nr} \end{gathered}$ | Tulemus | Rifk |
| 1 | 535 | Liechtenstein | 1 | 524 | Soome | 1 | 545 | Soome |
| 2 | 531 | Šveits | 2 | 523 | lirimaa | 2 | 541 | Estonia |
| 3 | 523 | Holland | 3 | 518 | Poola | 3 | 526 | Poola |
| 4 | 521 | Estonia | 4 | 516 | Estonia | 4 | 525 | Liechtenstein |
| 5 | 519 | Soome | 5 | 516 | Liechtenstein | 5 | 524 | Saksamaa |
| 6 | 518 | Poola | 6 | 511 | Holland | 6 | 522 | Holland |
| 7 | 515 | Belgia | 7 | 509 | Belgia | 7 | 522 | lirimaa |
| 8 | 514 | Saksamaa | 8 | 509 | Šveits | 8 | 515 | Šveits |
| 9 | 506 | Austria | 9 | 508 | Saksamaa | 9 | 514 | Sloveenia |
| 10 | 501 | Island | 10 | 505 | Prantsusmaa | 10 | 514 | Suurbritannia |
| 11 | 501 | Sloveenia | 11 | 504 | Norra | 11 | 508 | Tšehhi |
| 12 | 500 | Taani | 12 | 499 | Suurbritannia | 12 | 506 | Austria |
| 13 | 499 | Tšehhi | 13 | 496 | Taani | 13 | 505 | Belgia |
| 14 | 495 | Prantsusmaa | 14 | 493 | Tšehhi | 14 | 502 | Läti |
| 15 | 494 | Suurbritannia | 15 | 490 | Itaalia | 15 | 499 | Prantsusmaa |
| 16 | 493 | lirimaa | 16 | 490 | Austria | 16 | 498 | Taani |
| 17 | 491 | Läti | 17 | 489 | Läti | 17 | 496 | Hispaania |
| 18 | 490 | Luxembourg | 18 | 488 | Ungari | 18 | 496 | Leedu |
| 19 | 489 | Norra | 19 | 488 | Hispaania | 19 | 495 | Norra |
| 20 | 487 | Portugal | 20 | 488 | Luksemburg | 20 | 494 | Ungari |
| 21 | 485 | Itaalia | 21 | 488 | Portugal | 21 | 494 | Itaalia |
| 22 | 484 | Hispaania | 22 | 485 | Horvaatia | 22 | 491 | Horvaatia |
| 23 | 482 | Venemaa | 23 | 483 | Rootsi | 23 | 491 | Luksemburg |
| 24 | 482 | Slovakkia | 24 | 483 | Island | 24 | 489 | Portugal |
| 25 | 479 | Leedu | 25 | 481 | Sloveenia | 25 | 486 | Venemaa |
| 26 | 478 | Rootsi | 26 | 477 | Leedu | 26 | 485 | Rootsi |
| 27 | 477 | Ungari | 27 | 477 | Kreeka | 27 | 478 | Island |
| 28 | 471 | Horvaatia | 28 | 475 | Venemaa | 28 | 471 | Slovakkia |
| 29 | 453 | Kreeka | 29 | 463 | Slovakkia | 29 | 467 | Kreeka |
| 30 | 449 | Serbia | 30 | 449 | Küpros | 30 | 446 | Bulgaaria |
| 31 | 445 | Rumeenia | 31 | 446 | Serbia | 31 | 445 | Serbia |
| 32 | 440 | Küpros | 32 | 438 | Rumeenia | 32 | 439 | Rumeenia |
| 33 | 439 | Bulgaaria | 33 | 436 | Bulgaaria | 33 | 438 | Küpros |
| 34 | 394 | Albaania | 34 | 394 | Albaania | 34 | 397 | Albaania |

## How to interpret the results

PISA results are reported in two ways according to the proficiency levels and mean scores. When interpreting the mean scores it should be noted that 1 school year is 39 points.

Task difficulty and student proficiency

| Difficult items | 6. level <br> 5. level | :D Student A, at a relatively high <br> proficiency level |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Moderate items | 4. level <br> 3. level | :) Student B, at a moderate proficiency <br> level |
| Easy items | 2. level <br> 1. level | :\|Student C, at a relatively low <br> proficiency level |

PISA uses proficiency levels to describe the types of skills that students at each particular level are likely to complete. Test questions that focus on simple tasks are categorized at lower levels whereas those that are more demanding are categorized at higher levels.

A single continuous scale shows the proficiency of students and difficulty of tasks. The higher the item on the scale the more difficult it is.

By showing the proficiency of each student on the same scale, it is possible to describe the level of mathematical literacy that the student possesses.

The table below shows the score points for each level in each subject:

|  | Below 1 |  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 | Level 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maths | Below 358 |  | 358-420 | 420-482 | 482-545 | 545-607 | 607-669 | $669+$ |
| Science | Below$335$ |  | 335-410 | 410-484 | 484-559 | 559-633 | 633-708 | 708+ |
| Reading | Belo w 1b | Level 1b | Level 1a | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | Level 5 | Level 6 |
|  | Below $262$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262- \\ & 335 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 335- \\ & 407 \end{aligned}$ | 407-480 | 480-553 | 553-626 | 626-698 | 698+ |

